

### THE WATCHME STUDENT MODEL EXPLAINED

### JEROEN DONKERS, MAASTRICHT UNIVERSITY

This project has received funding from the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme for research, technological development and demonstration under grant agreement no 619349 www.project-watchme.eu





# THE WATCHME STUDENT MODEL

- Student Model = A representation of the variables and their relations that play a role in a (workplace-based) learner (e.g., performance level, motivation, consistency).
- We use a Bayesian network for this representation. It is grounded in classical probability theory and allows us to predict the inner state of a student on the basis of observed evidence.
- The type of Bayesian network we apply is called Multi-Entity Bayesian Network, which makes it possible to take the student's particular context into account, and allows a more clear way of defining the model.



# THE WATCHME STUDENT MODEL

- As input, our model uses e-portfolio content (e.g., assessments, scores), or findings generated from the content (e.g. a sudden drop in scores)
- The output are posterior probability tables for the variables, given the observed. (e.g., p(motivation=high)=0.7, p(motivation=low)=0.3)
- This output is used for presenting appropriate messages to students or their supervisors. (e.g., if p(motivation=low) > 0.5 display: "Please contact your mentor to talk about your study progress")



# THE WATCHME STUDENT MODEL

- In WATCHME we produced two different student models that are used in parallel:
- The PERFORMANCE MODEL takes the assessment scores directly from the portfolio and tries to estimate the true present level of performance. It does this per EPA and per performance indicator in the EPAs. It also takes a few narrative feedback fields into account, that are translated in a sentiment level.
- The PEDAGOGICAL MODEL concentrates more on the behavioural and meta-cognitive aspects. In this presentation, we will concentrate on this second model.



# **DESIGNING THE MODEL**

- Before building the pedagogical model, we needed to decide on what variables to include in the model.
- We interviewed 12 scholars involved in workplace-based learning on what educational theories and concepts that are linked to this area.
- From the interviews, a mind-map was created that interlinks all terms and theories mentioned in the interviews



#### Mind map of concepts in workplace-based learning

WATCHME





5

# **DESIGNING THE MODEL**

- From this mind-map, we selected 5 themes, which we judged to be feasible to implement into the student model on the basis of e-portfolio content.
- The five selected themes are:
  - Feedback seeking behaviour
  - "Frustration alert"
  - Completeness of information
  - Portfolio consistency
  - Need for feedback (currently not implemented)
- We discussed the themes with representatives from each of the three WATCHME application domains for further details and refinement



# **BUILDING THE MODEL**

- In a Multi-Entity Bayesian Network, each of the themes is represented by what is called a "knowledge fragment". Such a fragment contains the variables that are important in that theme and defines the probabilistic relations between them.
- Each knowledge fragment contains input variables that either are fed with evidence from the portfolio, or that can come from other knowledge fragments
- Each knowledge fragment contains output variables
- Intermediate variables can be used to define more complicated relations between input and output.
- A fragment also has parameters that dictate when and how and how often the fragment is applied
- The tool UnBBayes was used to build and run the model



## **BUILDING THE MODEL**



The knowledge fragment for "Frustration alert"

WATCHME

# **RUNNING THE MODEL**

After adding evidence to the model, the model can be queried, e.g.

"what is the level of frustration at time T2?"

A Bayesian network will then be created that answers the query:





# **GETTING THE EVIDENCE**

- To fill variables like "scoreHasDropped(t)" we need to extract this information from the e-portfolio
- We created a series of statistical functions that take the assessments from the portfolio (with the time points of the assessment) and determine for each of the time if, for instance, a drop in score can be detected



# **TUNING THE MODEL**

- The model and the finding-generating functions contain many parameters that need to be tuned.
- Some parameters can be deduced from literature, but most of them need to be fine-tuned to the application domain.
- We took a set of anonymized historical portfolio data to tune the WATCHME model. It did not include EPAs, so competencies were used instead.
- First, the parameters for the finding-generating functions were tuned so that not too many but also not too little findings where detected.
- Then we ran the student model for all portfolio's in the set for all points in time and inspected the model output.
- This resulted in some changes in probability functions.



#### Findings generated for a fictive student

WATCHME

			•••••	••••••			······		
_	FB Staff (M/S/N)					·			
p	FB Peers (M/S/N)		•	•		<sup></sup>		••••••	
ndir	Sc.drop (S/L/N)								
ii.	Sc.cons (Y/N)								
	Usage.cons (Y/N)								
	Usage.changed (Y.N)								
	o o	25	Ę	50	7	5	100	125	
	Hotspot (Y/N)								
	S. Types (Y/N)				<b>.</b>		•• •••••	••	
	S.Roles (Y/N)			•	•				<sup></sup>
	Even usage (Y/N)								
	Hotspot (Y/N)								
_	S.Types (Y/N)				<b>`</b> +			•	<u>-</u>
_	S.Roles (Y/N)	*		•	•••			······································	
	Even usage (Y/N)		*******					****	
etency	Hotspot (Y/N)								
	S.Types (Y/N)	•••••••			•	•	·····	•••••••	•••• <u>•</u> •
	S.Roles (Y/N)				••		••••••		
ě	Even usage (1/m)								_
5	S Types (V/N)			•••••			••••••• <mark></mark> •••		
2	S Roles (V/N)			•••••			<mark></mark>	······	
be	Even usage (Y/N)								
B L	Hotspot (Y/N)								
ip –	S.Types (Y/N)				•		······		•••• <sup>••</sup> 0
Ë.	S.Roles (Y/N)		•••••	······	•		<mark></mark>	··	<sup></sup>
	Even usage (Y/N)								
	Hotspot (Y/N)	•••••	•						
	S. Types (Y/N)			••				•	• g
_	S.Roles (Y/N)								•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
	Even usage (Y/N)								
	Hotspot (Y/N)								
	S.Types (Y/N)				•	**		•	···· 2
	S.Roles (Y/N)	• •			••	****	•••		
	Even usage (Y/N)		******	1	1	-	100	1	
	0	25	1	50	7	5	100	125	
				i ime in w	/eeks				

#### Findings per week for one student



#### Output of student model for one student

13

Model output for a fictive student

WATCHME

# THE PROOF OF THE PUDDING...

- Tuning the model and the parameters on the basis of historical data is necessary, but only the starting point
- The field-experiments in WATCHME are about to start
- Retuning of parameters might be needed along the ride
- Research questions:
  - Exact phrasing and timing of feedback-messages is important and context dependent. What is the best for this situation? What other communication is possible, e.g. what visualisations could be used?
  - Will students change their behaviour on the basis of output generated by the model?
  - What other elements can be included in the model?
  - In what contexts and circumstances does such a model work best?

